



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

only an introduction. They fall short of being philosophically vital and whole. Thus a philosophy of law may not be still legalistic, for the simple reason that the law will not yet have so far lost its legal world as to find its own soul, its real life. The majesty of the law, even when robed with the "categorical imperative" and a precise knowledge of the natural world, can at best be only, like the fear of the Lord, the beginning of wisdom. Ere the end can be reached, even that majesty must pass, for philosophy plays to no conceits. A true philosophy of law, however begun, must sooner or later lead to some actual discrediting of law; it cannot stop with mere purified and translated legalism; it must even recognize illegalism, in order that, whatever the attending dangers of such anarchy, there may be real opportunity for something new; for just such revision and reconstruction as those behind the enterprise of the Legal Philosophy Series seem to foresee. Only, one can not help wondering if the lawyers and jurists really appreciate what a really illegal thing a real philosophy of law really is! ALFRED H. LLOYD.

AN ELEMENTARY TREATISE ON THE JURISDICTION AND PROCEDURE OF THE FEDERAL COURTS, by John C. Rose, United States District Judge for the District of Maryland. Baltimore, King Brothers, 1915.

"This book is intended for the use of those who know little or nothing as to the jurisdiction and proceedings of the Federal courts, and who would like to learn the fundamental rules concerning them. It had its origin in a course of lectures which for a number of years past have been given to the senior class in the Department of Law, University of Maryland." In these words the author announces the purpose of this treatise, which appeared the first of the present year in the form of a volume of about four hundred pages. The author further defines the purpose of his treatise in these words:

"This little book seeks to state and briefly to explain the general rules which determine the jurisdiction of the Federal Courts; to give some account of the organization of the Federal judicial system; to point out the more important respects in which procedure of these tribunals differs from those [that] of the States; and to say a little about those subjects of general law upon which they do not feel themselves bound to follow the decisions of the State Courts, and in which in consequence they may upon the same state of facts reach an opposite conclusion."

To carry his purpose into effect the author treats in twenty chapters the essential elements of Federal jurisdiction and procedure. Chapter I. deals with, "The Origin and Limits of the Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts"; chapter II. with "The Organization of the Federal Judicial System"; chapter III. with "The Criminal Jurisdiction and Procedure of the Federal Courts"; chapter IV. with "Civil Controversies over which the Jurisdiction of the District Court is exclusive of that of the States"; chapter V. "Of what Controversies District Courts have Jurisdiction Concurrent with State Courts"; chapter VI. with "The Amount in Controversy"; chapter VII. with "Cases Arising Under the Constitution, Treaties, or Laws of the United States"; chapter VIII. with "Diversity of Citizenship"; chapter IX. with "Venue of

Actions in the Federal Courts"; chapter X. with "Jurisdiction of Federal Courts as Affected by Assignments and Transfers"; chapter XI. with "Removal of Cases from State Courts to Federal Courts"; chapter XII. with "Ancillary Jurisdiction of the Federal Courts"; chapter XIII. with "Habeas Corpus"; chapter XIV. with "Civil Procedure of the Federal Courts when Sitting as Courts of Law"; chapter XV. with "Procedure of Federal Courts when Sitting as Courts of Equity"; chapter XVI. with "The Substantive Law Applied by the Federal Court"; chapter XVII. with "Appellate Jurisdiction of the Courts of the United States—Direct Appeals from District Courts to Supreme Court"; chapter XVIII. with "Appeals to the Circuit Court of Appeals"; chapter XIX. with "Writs of Error from Supreme Court to State Courts"; chapter XX. with "Class of Decisions from which Appeals may be Taken and How."

The author's classification of subjects is natural and logical, his treatment of them thorough and scientific. He considers first the constitutional grant, the statutory provisions in pursuance of the grant, then the procedure and whatever is peculiar in the Federal jurisprudence. He supports his statements by reference to cases which he states in a peculiarly engaging manner, giving the history of cases in many instances in such a way as to command the instant attention of the reader and hold it to the end. His treatment of the Limited Jurisdiction of the Federal courts and the meaning of Inferior Courts as applied to those tribunals is particularly lucid and attractive. His work exhibits his threefold character of lawyer, judge, and teacher, a combination of qualifications, which has resulted in this instance in a treatise of exceptional value to the student who desires to acquaint himself with the jurisdiction and procedure of the Federal Courts and whatever is peculiar in their jurisprudence.

Judge ROSE is a member of the Federal Judiciary with a service of five years on the bench of the District Court of Maryland. For several years he has been engaged in teaching law students in the University of Maryland the subject which he extends in the present treatise. It is enough to say of his record as a lawyer that it was such as to warrant his appointment to the bench. His experience, professional, judicial, and academic, gives assurance that in any undertaking of his to make available to all who are desirous of learning the fundamentals of the jurisdiction, procedure, and jurisprudence of the Federal Courts, what in substance he has made available to the law students of the University of Maryland, he would be doing a good, a great service to the profession. This treatise will, without doubt, be of great service to the profession and will be a lasting confirmation of all of which the author's experience and ability give promise. It is designed primarily and essentially for the use of students of the law and will be received and regarded, in the reviewer's judgment, as an excellent text book on the subject with which it deals. But its value in that regard would be considerably enhanced if there were printed in connection with it, and in the form of an appendix or otherwise,—and for more than occasional reference—Art. III. of the Federal Constitution relating to the judicial branch of the Federal Govern-

ment, Art. XI. of the Amendments, the Judicial Code with its amendments, the new Federal Equity Rules, and the Judiciary Act of 1789. Although this treatise is designed primarily by the author to meet the needs and requirements of beginners in the subject, its value is not confined to them only. The experienced practitioner will be amply repaid for a careful reading of it.

R. E. B.

LAW OF SALE OF STOCKS AND BONDS, by Milford J. Thompson. Chicago. Barnard and Miller, 1915: pp. xxv, 208.

The reviewer feels himself precluded from comment upon the subject-matter of this volume because, although reasonably well acquainted with that part of our law particularly pertinent to contracts and sales, he has found himself quite unable to comprehend what this work purports to set forth. Its disregard of the rules of syntax, and inconsistent misuse of established legal terms are susceptible of contextual solution. Thus, when the author says that, "a lawful sale contract" must "evidence" a complete meeting of the minds of the parties, because "if it does not evidence such an understanding, it is not a lawful sale contract or sale," we may presume that he means that if there is no meeting of the minds there is no contract at all, lawful or otherwise. So also, in saying, "Shares of stock or bonds are of the class of things that can only be used by the buyers for profit or security, and can not be put to any other useful purpose. But personal, chattel, real or mixed property can be of use or service to buyers, as well as profit * * *" he presumably intends to make a distinction as between corporeal and incorporeal property. Again, "Section 4. Sales must in some way evidence a meeting of the minds * * *. If there is no such evidence * * * there is no sale," probably means that alleged contracts of sale must be supported by such evidence.

But the reviewer has not been able to decipher the meaning of such paragraphs as the following:—"But, if the terms of the contract so formed do not evidence a mutual meeting of the minds of the parties in the same sense and understanding, then the contract is not executed. The title to the subject of sale is not passed to the buyer, but still remains in the vendor. The contract is not a sale contract. It does not evidence the necessary meeting of the minds of the parties to create and execute a contract. Such contracts can only become executed and a binding sale contract by supplying such evidence as may be necessary to create an understanding of the contract terms in the same sense." "Executory contracts evidence the form of a contract the parties agree to make, and becomes a binding sale contract when the agreement so evidenced is performed."

The author's preface says, "The laws of property rights and personal rights, in the law of sales; and the established principles of law evidencing and enforcing such property and personal rights, force us to deny that the present practice of pretending to sell securities, in their legal representative sense, is a lawful practice." And, in the introduction, "We merely undertake to show that the established principles of law and the established rules of practice can be applied in the sale of securities." But the substance of the exposition the reviewer is unable to set forth.

J. B. W.